# Getting started with the biogas package

Charlotte Rennuit and Sasha D. Hafner (sasha.hafner@bce.au.dk)

April 5, 2025

## 1 Introduction

Anaerobic digestion is a popular technology for production of renewable energy and stabilisation of organic wastes, and research on the topic is carried out in laboratories in many countries. Transformation of raw data collected in laboratory experiments into quantities and rates of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) production requires a sequence of simple calculations. Although conceptually simple, these steps are time-consuming, and seldom described in detail in publications, so results may not be reproducible among laboratories or experiments. We developped the biogas package to address these issues. This document provides a brief introduction to the biogas package for new users. We have assumed that readers are familiar with biogas data collection and R.

# 2 Overview of functions

The package includes several "low-level" functions (Table 1) and "high-level" functions (Table 2). To go from data collected in the laboratory to biogas and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) production or biochemical methane potential (BMP), two high-level functions are needed: cumBg() (now replaced by calcBg\*() functions) and summBg(). Comparing results to theory is facilitated by the remaining high-level function: predBg(). The low-level functions support the calculations carried out by the high-level functions, and may also be useful for some simple operations (e.g., converting reported biogas volumes to different standard conditions). This document describes the use of the high-level functions.

This vignette does not cover the latest developments in the package, but still provides a good overview. The newer calcBg\*() functions are described in individual vignettes for the volumetric (calcBgVol) and manometric (calcBgMan) alternatives. The gravimetric version (calcBgGrav) is only described in its help file so far.

Table 1: Operations done with the low-level functions in the biogas package. All functions are vectorized. See help files for more details.

Operation	Function
Standardise gas volume	<pre>stdVol()</pre>
Interpolate composition etc.	interp()
Calculate oxygen demand of a compound	calcCOD()
Calculate molar mass of a compound	<pre>molMass()</pre>
Calculate biogas volume from mass loss	<pre>mass2vol()</pre>
Calculate mass loss from biogas volume	vol2mass()
Convert gas volume to moles	vol2mol()

Table 2: Operations done with the high-level functions in the biogas package. The cumBg() and summBg() functions can handle data from any number of bottles. predBg() is vectorized.

Operation	Function
Calculate cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> production and rates from volume (mass), composition	cumBg()
Calculate biochemical methane potential, summarise cumulative production or rates	<pre>summBg()</pre>
Predict biogas production based on substrate composition	<pre>predBg()</pre>

# 3 An example: calculation and prediction of biochemical methane potential

Calculation of biochemical methane potential (BMP) typically requires three data frames: initial mass, biogas quantity (volume, pressure, or bottle mass loss), and biogas composition. Input data may be structured in one of three ways: "long", "wide", or "combined". In a "long" format (data.struct = 'long', the default), the measured variable (e.g., biogas volume) is in a single column (Fig. 1). In this case columns with unique bottle IDs and time allow the biogas functions to link observations in the two data frames<sup>1</sup>. Any order of observations can be used in input data frames.

The third data frame on initial conditions is used by the summBg() function. It should contain at least a bottle ID column and a description of the bottle contents. If the contribution of an inoculum is to be subtrated (as in the BMP test), the mass of inoculum added should be included here. Any measurements to be used to normalise biogas or  $CH_4$  production are included here, using a "wide" format (Fig. 2). Note that there is no time column in this data frame-these values are independent of time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>But observations need not be for the same times. Interpolation by interp takes care of this. Note that the time columns can be date/time objects as well as numeric or integer.

		Response variable			
Reactor ID	Time	(volume or mass)			Response variable
R1	1	$y_{1,1}$		m.	-
R2	1	'	Reactor ID	Time	(Composition)
112	1	$y_{2,1}$	R1	2	$y_{1,2}$
	•••	•••	R2	2	$y_{2,2}$
$R_n$	1	$y_{i,1}$	-	-	,
$\mathbf{R1}$	2	$y_{1,2}$	 D	 ე	•••
R2	2	$y_{2,2}$	$\mathrm{R}_n$	2	$y_{n,2}$
$R_n$	2			•••	
	2	$y_{i,2}$	$\mathbf{R}_n$	$t_k$	$y_{n,k}$
•••	•••	•••	-		,
$R_n$	$t_k$	$y_{n,k}$			

Figure 1: General structure of time-dependent data frames for the dat (left) and comp (right) arguments to the cumBg() function.

With the "wide" data structure (data.struct = 'wide') the biogas quantity data frame contains a separate column for each bottle. And in the "combined" option (data.struct = 'longcombo') a single data frame contains both biogas quantity and composition in a "long" structure.

Reactor ID	Description	Substrate VS mass	Inoculum total mass	
R1	Substrate A	10.2	302	
R2	Substrate A	9.85	301	
R3	Substrate A	10.3	298	
R4	Substrate B	8.5	300	
$\mathbf{R6}$	Inoculum only		502	
R <sub>n</sub>			•••	

Figure 2: General structure of initial conditions data frame for the setup argument to the summBg() function.

In this example, we will use the example data sets included with the package: vol for biogas volumes, comp for composition, and setup for grouping and substrate and inoculum masses. These data are from a BMP test that was carried out on two different substrates A and B, and cellulose (included as a "control"). The experiment included 12 batch bottles:

- 3 bottles with substrate A and inoculum
- 3 bottles with substrate B and inoculum
- 3 bottles with cellulose and inoculum
- 3 bottles with inoculum only

Reactors consisted of 500 mL or 1.0 L glass bottles, and were sealed with a butyl rubber septum and a screw cap. Initial substrate and inoculum masses were determined. A typical volumetric method was used to measure biogas production: accumulated biogas was measured and removed intermittently using syringes, and composition was measured for some of these samples.

```
library(biogas)
data("vol")
dim(vol)
## [1] 288
             4
head(vol)
##
      id
                   date.time days vol
## 1 2_1 2014-06-07 07:00:00 1.98 393
## 2 2_1 2014-06-08 07:00:00 2.98 260
## 3 2_1 2014-06-09 07:00:00 3.98 245
## 4 2_1 2014-06-10 07:00:00 4.98 225
## 5 2_1 2014-06-11 07:00:00 5.98 200
## 6 2_1 2014-06-12 08:00:00 7.02 175
summary(vol)
##
          id
                    date.time
                                                         days
                                                          : 1.98
##
    2_{1}
           : 24
                  Min.
                          :2014-06-07 07:00:00.0
                                                    Min.
    2_2
##
           : 24
                  1st Qu.:2014-06-13 20:00:00.0
                                                    1st Qu.: 8.52
##
    2_3
           : 24
                  Median :2014-06-28 06:00:00.0
                                                    Median : 22.94
    2_4
                          :2014-07-16 15:29:22.5
                                                    Mean : 41.33
##
           : 24
                  Mean
##
    2_5
           : 24
                  3rd Qu.:2014-07-25 22:45:00.0
                                                    3rd Qu.: 50.63
##
   2_6
           : 24
                  Max.
                          :2014-12-19 04:30:00.0
                                                    Max.
                                                          :196.92
   (Other):144
##
```

## vol
## Min. : 98.0
## 1st Qu.:171.5
## Median :225.0
## Mean :271.7
## 3rd Qu.:300.0
## Max. :840.0
##

data("comp")

dim(comp)

## [1] 132 4

head(comp)

 ##
 id
 date.time
 days
 xCH4

 ##
 516
 2\_1
 2014-06-12
 08:00:00
 7.02
 0.7104731

 ##
 519
 2\_1
 2014-06-19
 08:00:00
 14.02
 0.7024937

 ##
 522
 2\_1
 2014-06-26
 05:00:00
 20.90
 0.6659919

 ##
 524
 2\_1
 2014-07-03
 04:00:00
 27.85
 0.6789466

 ##
 525
 2\_1
 2014-07-10
 03:00:00
 34.81
 0.6951429

 ##
 528
 2\_1
 2014-07-24
 04:00:00
 48.85
 0.6693053

summary(comp)

##		id	date	.time		days
##	2_1	:11	Min.	:2014-06-12	08:00:00.00	Min. : 7.02
##	2_2	:11	1st Qu	.:2014-06-26	05:00:00.00	1st Qu.: 20.90
##	2_3	:11	Median	:2014-07-24	04:00:00.00	Median : 48.85
##	2_4	:11	Mean	:2014-07-31	07:47:43.64	Mean : 56.01
##	2_5	:11	3rd Qu	.:2014-08-28	04:00:00.00	3rd Qu.: 83.85
##	2_6	:11	Max.	:2014-10-13	07:00:00.00	Max. :129.98
##	(Other	:):66				
##	2	CH4				
##	Min.	:0.56	647			
##	1st Qı	1.:0.63	393			
##	Mediar	ı :0.65	598			
##	Mean	:0.65	587			
##	3rd Qı	1.:0.67	786			
##	Max.	:0.71	15			
##						

#### data("setup")

setup

##		id de	escrip	msub	minoc	mvs.sub	mvs.inoc	mcod.sub	mcod.inoc
##	1	2_1	A	178.96	328.82	3.839567	12.92268	5.527522	19.09109
##	5	2_2	A	178.58	350.90	3.831414	13.79043	5.515785	20.37305
##	6	2_3	А	178.58	326.61	3.831414	12.83583	5.515785	18.96278
##	7	2_4	В	40.21	465.32	5.333816	18.28716	8.325115	27.01620
##	8	2_5	В	40.04	461.90	5.311266	18.15275	8.289918	26.81764
##	9	2_6	В	40.13	475.61	5.323204	18.69156	8.308551	27.61363
##	10	2_7	cellu	5.75	500.94	5.507470	19.68703	7.762500	29.08428
##	11	2_8	cellu	5.76	498.10	5.517048	19.57542	7.776000	28.91939
##	12	2_9	cellu	5.71	504.65	5.469157	19.83283	7.708500	29.29968
##	2	2_10	inoc	501.50	501.50	19.709037	19.70904	29.116792	29.11679
##	3	2_11	inoc	502.27	502.27	19.739298	19.73930	29.161498	29.16150
##	4	2_12	inoc	502.12	502.12	19.733403	19.73340	29.152789	29.15279
##		m.tot	mvs.1	tot mcoo	d.tot				
##	1	657.78	16.762	225 24.0	51862				
##	5	679.79	17.623	184 25.8	38883				
##	6	654.68	16.66	724 24.4	17857				
##	7	655.22	23.620	097 35.3	34132				
##	8	652.56	23.464	402 35.3	10756				
##	9	665.76	24.014	176 35.9	92219				
##	10	656.68	25.194	450 36.8	34678				
##	11	653.02	25.092	246 36.0	69539				
		659.28							
##	2	652.07	19.709	904 29.3	11679				
		752.37							
##	4	650.66	19.733	340 29.3	15279				

### 3.1 Cumulative production

The first step in processing these data is to calculate cumulative production of biogas and  $CH_4$  and production rates. We can do this with the cumBg() function, using vol and comp data frames as input. The arguments for the function are:

args(cumBg)
## function (dat, dat.type = "vol", comp = NULL, temp = NULL, pres = NULL,
## interval = TRUE, data.struct = "long", id.name = "id", time.name = "time",
## dat.name = dat.type, comp.name = "xCH4", pres.resid = NULL,
## temp.init = NULL, pres.init = NULL, rh.resid = NULL, rh.resid.init = 1,
## headspace = NULL, vol.hs.name = "vol.hs", headcomp = "N2",

```
## absolute = TRUE, pres.amb = NULL, mol.f.name = NULL, vol.syr = NULL,
## cmethod = "removed", imethod = "linear", extrap = FALSE,
## addt0 = TRUE, showt0 = TRUE, dry = FALSE, empty.name = NULL,
## std.message = !quiet, check = TRUE, temp.std = getOption("temp.std",
## as.numeric(NA)), pres.std = getOption("pres.std", as.numeric(NA)),
## unit.temp = getOption("unit.temp", "C"), unit.pres = getOption("unit.pres",
## "atm"), quiet = FALSE)
## NULL
```

Most of the arguments have default values, but to calculate  $CH_4$  production we must provide values for at least dat (we will use vol), comp (we will use comp), temp (biogas temperature), and pres (biogas pressure)<sup>2</sup>, along with the names of a few columns in our input data frames. We need to specify the name of the time column in vol and comp using the time.name argument. This name must be the same in both data frames. Similarly, there is an id.name argument for the bottle ID column (used to match up volume and composition data), but we can use the default value ("id") here because it matches the column name in vol and comp. And, the comp.name argument is used to indicate which column within the comp data frame contains the  $CH_4$  content (as mole fraction in dry biogas, normalised so the sum of mole fractions of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  sum to unity). We can use the default ("xCH4") because it matches the name in comp. Lastly, the name of the column that contains the response variable in the dat data frame (vol here) can be specified with the dat.name argument. Here too we can use the default ("vol" for volumetric measurements or "mass" for gravimetric). By default (cmethod = "removed") the function calculates volumes following [2] as the product of standardised volume of biogas removed and normalised  $CH_4$  content.

Note the message about standard temperature and pressure–it is important to make sure these values are correct, therefore users are reminded by a message<sup>3</sup>. The output looks like this:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>By default, temperature is in °C and pressure in atm, but these can be changed in the function call with the temp.unit and pres.unit arguments, or globally with options.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ Remember that standard conditions can be set in the function call with temp.std and pres.std, or globally with options().

```
head(cum.prod)
```

##		id	date	time da	avs vo	1	xCH4	temperature	pressure	vBø
								-	-	0
##	1	2_1		<na> O</na>	.00 N	A	NA	NA	NA	0.0000
##	2	2_1 2014	-06-07 07:	00:00 1	.98 39	3 0.7	104731	35	1	328.9470
##	3	2_1 2014	-06-08 07:	00:00 2	.98 26	0 0.7	104731	35	1	217.6240
##	4	2_1 2014	-06-09 07:	00:00 3	.98 24	5 0.7	104731	35	1	205.0687
##	5	2_1 2014	-06-10 07:	00:00 4	.98 22	5 0.7	104731	35	1	188.3284
##	6	2_1 2014	-06-11 07:	00:00 5	.98 20	0 0.7	104731	35	1	167.4031
##		vCH4	cvBg	cvCl	H4	rvBg	rv(	CH4		
##	1	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	00	NA		NA		
##	2	233.7080	328.9470	233.70	80 166	.1348	118.03	343		
##	3	154.6160	546.5710	388.324	40 217	.6240	154.63	160		
##	4	145.6958	751.6397	534.01	98 205	.0687	145.69	958		
##	5	133.8023	939.9681	667.82	21 188	.3284	133.80	023		
##	6	118.9354	1107.3712	786.75	74 167	.4031	118.93	354		
dim(cum.prod)										
##	## [1] 300 13									

The data frame that is returned has all the original columns in vol, plus others. In these columns, v stands for (standardised) volume, cv (standardised) cumulative volume, rv stands for (standardised) volume production rate, and Bg and CH4 for biogas and methane. So cvCH4 contains standardised cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> production. It is probably easier to understand the data in the output graphically. Here we'll use the qplot function from the ggplot2 package to plot it.

```
library(ggplot2)

qplot(x = days, y = cvCH4, data = cum.prod, xlab = "Time (d)",
    ylab = "Cumulative methane production (mL)", color = id,
    geom = "line")

## Warning: 'qplot()' was deprecated in ggplot2 3.4.0.
## This warning is displayed once every 8 hours.
## Call 'lifecycle::last_lifecycle_warnings()' to see where this warning
was
## generated.
```



### 3.2 Other data structures

As of biogas version 1.5.0, the "long" data structures described above is not the only option. In addition, "wide" and combined "long" structures are possible. We can compare the three possible approaches using the same dataset.

Let's load data on biogas production from three bottles with wastewater sludge.

```
data("s3voll")
data("s3compl")
data("s3volw")
data("s3compw")
data("s3lcombo")
```

The "long" structure described above looks like this:

```
s3voll
##
      id
          time.d vol.ml cvol.ml
##
       D
           0.9438
                      103
                               103
   1
## 2
       Е
           0.9451
                      106
                               106
## 3
       F
           0.9472
                      107
                               107
                               295
##
  4
       D
           2.9060
                      192
       Е
           2.9090
                      181
                               287
## 5
##
  6
       F
           2.9100
                      203
                               310
       D 5.8860
                      141
                               436
## 7
```

##	8	Е	5.8880	133	420
##	9	F	5.8900	140	450
##	10	D	10.0000	112	548
##	11	Е	10.0000	111	531
##	12	F	10.0100	110	560
##	13	D	23.1000	200	748
##	14	Е	23.1000	190	721
##	15	F	23.1000	200	760
##	16	D	34.0100	109	857
##	17	Е	34.0100	110	831
##	18	F	34.0100	112	872
##	19	D	57.8400	146	1003
##	20	Е	57.8400	136	967
##	21	F	57.8400	138	1010

#### s3compl

## id time.d xCH4 ## 1 D 2.906 0.6983 ## 2 2.909 0.6817 Е ## 3 F 2.910 0.6869 ## 4 D 10.000 0.6646 ## 5 E 10.000 0.6644 ## 6 F 10.010 0.6632 ## 7 D 23.100 0.6946 ## 8 E 23.100 0.6871 F 23.100 0.6829 ## 9 D 34.010 0.6626 ## 10 ## 11 E 34.010 0.6556 ## 12 F 34.010 0.6527 ## 13 D 57.840 0.6651 ## 14 E 57.840 0.6600

The "wide" format contains (mostly) the same data, but there are separate columns for each bottle.

#### s3volw

## time.d D E F
## 1 0.9438 103 106 107
## 2 2.9060 192 181 203
## 3 34.0100 109 110 112
## 4 5.8860 141 133 140
## 5 10.0000 112 111 110
## 6 23.1000 200 190 200
## 7 57.8400 146 136 138

s3compw

## time.d D E F
## 1 2.906 0.6983 0.6817 0.6869
## 2 34.010 0.6626 0.6556 0.6527
## 3 10.000 0.6646 0.6644 0.6632
## 4 23.100 0.6946 0.6871 0.6829
## 5 57.840 0.6651 0.6600 NA

Note the missing composition value in s3compw. With the "long" structure, a row was simply omitted. Both approaches will result in the same output though. With the "wide" approach all bottles must be measured at the same times.

Finally, in the combined approach both volume and composition are in the same "long" data frame.

s3lcombo

##		id	time.d	vol.ml	xCH4
##	1	D	0.9438	103	NA
##	2	Е	0.9451	106	NA
##	3	F	0.9472	107	NA
##	4	D	2.9060	192	0.6983
##	5	Е	2.9090	181	0.6817
##	6	F	2.9100	203	0.6869
##	7	D	5.8860	141	0.6800
##	8	Е	5.8880	133	0.6800
##	9	F	5.8900	140	0.6800
##	10	D	10.0000	112	0.6646
##	11	Е	10.0000	111	0.6644
##	12	F	10.0100	110	0.6632
##	13	D	23.1000	200	0.6946
##	14	Е	23.1000	190	0.6871
##	15	F	23.1000	200	0.6829
##	16	D	34.0100	109	0.6626
##	17	Е	34.0100	110	0.6556
##	18	F	34.0100	112	0.6527
##	19	D	57.8400	146	0.6651
##	20	Е	57.8400	136	0.6600
##	21	F	57.8400	138	NA

Each of these structures can be used by  $\mathtt{cumBg}$  by changing the  $\mathtt{comp}$  argument.

```
dat.name = 'vol.ml', comp.name = 'xCH4',
             extrap = TRUE)
## Biogas composition is interpolated.
## Working with volume data, applying volumetric method.
## Using a standard pressure of 1 atm and standard temperature of 0
C for standardizing volume.
cpw <- cumBg(s3volw, comp = s3compw, temp = 25, pres = 1,
             time.name = 'time.d',
             data.struct = 'wide',
             dat.name = 'D', comp.name = 'D',
             extrap = TRUE)
## Biogas composition is interpolated.
## Working with volume data, applying volumetric method.
## Using a standard pressure of 1 atm and standard temperature of 0
C for standardizing volume.
cpc <- cumBg(s3lcombo, temp = 25, pres = 1,</pre>
             id.name = 'id', time.name = 'time.d',
             data.struct = 'longcombo',
             dat.name = 'vol.ml', comp.name = 'xCH4',
             extrap = TRUE)
## Biogas composition is interpolated.
## Working with volume data, applying volumetric method.
## Using a standard pressure of 1 atm and standard temperature of 0
C for standardizing volume.
```

Output is nearly identical here. The small differences result from the use of unique times for each bottle in the long formats.

#### head(cpl)

##		id	time.d	vol.ml	xCH4	temperature	pressure	vBg		vCH4
##	1	D	0.0000	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	(	0.0000.0
##	2	D	0.9438	103	0.6983000	25	1	91.40334	63	3.82696
##	3	D	2.9060	192	0.6983000	25	1	170.38293	118	3.97840
##	4	D	5.8860	141	0.6841435	25	1	125.12497	8	5.60344
##	5	D	10.0000	112	0.6646000	25	1	99.39004	6	6.05462
##	6	D	23.1000	200	0.6946000	25	1	177.48222	123	3.27915
##			cvBg	cvCH	14 rvBg	g rvCH4				
##	1	C	0.00000	0.0000	O NA	NA				
##	2	91	.40334	63.8269	6 96.84609	67.627628				
##	3	261	.78628	182.8053	6 86.83260	60.635206				
##	4	386	5.91124	268.4087	9 41.98824	28.725985				

## 5 486.30129 334.46342 24.15898 16.056058
## 6 663.78351 457.74257 13.54826 9.410622

head(cpw)

##		id time	e.d vo	1	xCH4	temp	perature	pressure	vBg	vCH4
##	1	D 0.00	000 N	A	NA		NA	NA	0.00000	0.00000
##	2	D 0.94	138 10	3 0.69	83000		25	1	91.40334	63.82696
##	3	D 2.90	060 19	2 0.69	83000		25	1	170.38293	118.97840
##	4	D 5.88	360 14	1 0.68	41435		25	1	125.12497	85.60344
##	5	D 10.00	000 11	2 0.66	46000		25	1	99.39004	66.05462
##	6	D 23.10	00 20	0 0.69	46000		25	1	177.48222	123.27915
##		cvl	3g	cvCH4	]	rvBg	rvCH	H4		
##	1	0.000	0 0	.00000		NA	1	AN		
##	2	91.403	34 63	.82696	96.84	1609	67.62762	28		
##	3	261.786	28 182	.80536	86.83	3260	60.63520	06		
##	4	386.911	24 268	.40879	41.98	3824	28.72598	35		
##	5	486.301	29 334	.46342	24.1	5898	16.05605	58		
##	6	663.783	51 457	.74257	13.54	1826	9.41062	22		

head(cpc)

##		id time.	d vol.ml	xCH4 tem	perature pres	sure	vBg	vCH4
##	1	D 0.000	O NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00000	0.00000
##	2	D 0.943	8 103 0	.6983	25	1	91.40334	63.82696
##	3	D 2.906	0 192 0	.6983	25	1	170.38293	118.97840
##	4	D 5.886	0 141 0	.6800	25	1	125.12497	85.08498
##	5	D 10.000	0 112 0	.6646	25	1	99.39004	66.05462
##	6	D 23.100	0 200 0	.6946	25	1	177.48222	123.27915
##		cvBg	cvCH4	rvBg	rvCH4			
##	1	0.0000	0.0000	NA	NA			
##	2	91.40334	63.82696	96.84609	67.627628			
##	3	261.78628	182.80536	86.83260	60.635206			
##	4	386.91124	267.89033	41.98824	28.552006			
##	5	486.30129	333.94496	24.15898	16.056058			
##	6	663.78351	457.22411	13.54826	9.410622			

## 3.3 Calculating BMP from cumulative production

To calculate BMP we need to substract the contribution of the inoculum to  $CH_4$  production for each bottle, normalise by substrate volatile solids (VS), and calculate means and standard deviations. This is done by the summBg() function using the results from cumBg(), along with the setup data frame. The arguments for summBg() are:

```
args(summBg)
```

```
## function (vol, setup, id.name = "id", time.name = "time", descrip.name = "descrip",
## inoc.name = NULL, inoc.m.name = NULL, norm.name = NULL, norm.se.name = NULL,
## vol.name = "cvCH4", imethod = "linear", extrap = FALSE, when = 30,
## when.min = 0, rate.crit = "net", show.obs = FALSE, show.rates = FALSE,
## show.more = FALSE, sort = TRUE, set.name = "set", quiet = FALSE)
## NULL
```

This is a flexible function, and is useful for more than just calculating BMP. For example, to simply determine the mean cumulative  $CH_4$  production for each substrate at 30 d, we could use:

```
summBg(cum.prod, setup = setup, time.name = "days", descrip.name = "descrip",
       when = 30)
## Response variable (volume) is cum.prod$cvCH4.
## Inoculum contribution not subtracted.
## No normalization by substrate mass
##
     descrip days
                      mean
                                  se
                                           sd n
##
  1
           А
               30 1608.362 22.06860 38.22393 3
##
  2
           В
               30 2078.248 26.48733 45.87740 3
##
  3
       cellu
               30 3686.127 33.35392 57.77069 3
## 4
       inoc
               30 1575.326 19.18801 33.23460 3
```

Here, the response variable was cvCH4 (cumulative  $CH_4$  production, the default-but vol.name could be used to specify any column). The argument descrip.name is the name of the column in setup that gives a description of the bottle. Here it is used for grouping bottles. We could have used the default value in this call.

To calculate BMP, we need to provide information on where inoculum and substrate VS masses can be found. To subtract the inoculum contribution, we need to provide a value for the inoc.name argument, which should be the value in the setup\$descrip.name column that indicates that the bottle contained inoculum only. In our setup data frame, the value is "inoc". Inoculum mass is given in the minoc column, and we need to provide this information using the inoc.m.name argument (although here also, we could use the default value). The last step is normalisation of cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> production, based on substrate VS mass. This mass must be stored in the setup data frame and the name of column is given using the norm.name argument. Here, it is "mvs.sub". We will evaluate CH<sub>4</sub> production at at time selection by the function when relative methane production drops below 1% of cumulative (after subtracting inoculum production) per day for at least 3 days (when argument).

```
BMP <- summBg(cum.prod, setup = setup, time.name = "days", inoc.name = "inoc",
              inoc.m.name = "minoc", norm.name = "mvs.sub", when = "1p3d")
## Response variable (volume) is cum.prod$cvCH4.
## Inoculum contribution subtracted based on setup$minoc.
## Response normalized by setup$mvs.sub.
BMP
##
     descrip days
                       mean
                                             sd n rate.crit.met
                                   se
## 1
           A 34.81 149.6533 4.146875
                                      7.182599 3
                                                           TRUE
           B 42.00 128.9929 6.916043 11.978937 3
## 2
                                                           TRUE
## 3
       cellu 20.90 372.8132 6.598490 11.428920 3
                                                           TRUE
```

Note the messages-because any response variable could be used and subtraction of an inoculum contribution and normalisation are optional, it is important to check these messages and be sure that summBg() did what you think it did. Additionally, it is good practice to view and save results from individual bottles, and check the apparent contribution of the inoculum to each bottle's biogas production. This additional information can be returned by setting show.obs = TRUE.

#### **3.4** Predicting methane production

The function **predBg()** provides a flexible approach for predicting methane potential, and in our example can be used to quickly check our experimental values. Predictions can be based on an empirical chemical formula, chemical oxygen demand (COD), or macromolecule composition.

Our BMP test included cellulose as a control. Using its chemical formula  $(C_6H_10O_5)$ , we can calculate theoretical methane potential to compare to our measurements<sup>4</sup>.

predBg("C6H1005")

## [1] 413.7274

BMP

So we see that theoretical methane potential of cellulose is  $414 \text{ mL g}^{-1}$ . Comparing expected cellulose BMP to measurements is an important way to check BMP experiments. How does this compare to our measurements?

```
##
    descrip days
                                             sd n rate.crit.met
                       mean
                                   se
## 1
           A 34.81 149.6533 4.146875
                                      7.182599 3
                                                            TRUE
##
  2
           B 42.00 128.9929 6.916043 11.978937 3
                                                            TRUE
## 3
       cellu 20.90 372.8132 6.598490 11.428920 3
                                                            TRUE
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In this case, the calculation is based on Eq. (13.5) in Rittmann and McCarty [3]. When the input is COD, it is based on the COD of  $CH_4$ , as described in [3].

The measured value is a bit lower, which is reasonable. It is common to assume that 5 - 10% of substrate is used to produce microbial biomass, and so not converted to biogas. We can incorporate this assumption into our prediction using the **fs** argument, which is the fraction of substrate electrons used for cell synthesis.

predBg("C6H1005", fs = 0.1)

## [1] 372.3547

Measured and predicted values are close after making this correction.

We don't have empirical formulas for substrates A and B, but we can predict theoretical potential by using the COD. Initial COD masses are in the setup data frame, and from these we can calculate COD:VS ratios for substrates A and B of 1.439 and 1.561 g g<sup>-1</sup>. Cellulose has a calculated oxygen demand  $(\text{COD'})^5$  of 1.184 g g<sup>-1</sup>. Predicted CH<sub>4</sub> production per g VS is therefore:

predBg(COD = c(A = 1.439, B = 1.561, cellu = 1.184))
## [1] 502.7638 545.3887 413.6709

Measured BMP was substantially lower for substrates A and B, indicating very low degradability. In fact, we could use predBg() to estimate effective degradability (ignoring synthesis of microbial biomass).

```
BMP$mean/predBg(COD = c(A = 1.439, B = 1.561, cellu = 1.184))
## [1] 0.2976613 0.2365155 0.9012315
```

We see that substrates A and B had low degradability, while degradability of cellulose was high. Both substrates A and B were digestate from digesters, i.e., they had already been anaerobically digested once before these measurements, and so we should expect low degradability.

## 4 Continuing with the biogas package

The three functions demonstrated in this document can be used in other ways not described here. For example, cumBg() can be used with measurements of bottle mass over time to determine biogas production[1], summBg() can return results for multiple times, and predBg() function can predict microbial nitrogen requirements and biogas composition. More details can be found in the help files for these functions, or, for predBg, in the predBg vignette. The low-level functions are straight-forward to use, and details can also be found in the help files.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ Oxygen demand can be calculated with the calcCOD function.

To receive updates on the biogas package, you can subscribe to a mailing list by sending an e-mail to either of us. And please send us a message if you find a bug or have a suggestion for improving an existing function or adding a new one.

## References

- S.D. Hafner, C. Rennuit, J.M. Triolo, and B.K. Richards. Validation of a simple gravimetric method for measuring biogas production in laboratory experiments. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 83:297–301, 2015.
- [2] B.K. Richards, R.J. Cummings, T.E. White, and W.J. Jewell. Methods for kinetic-analysis of methane fermentation in high solids biomass digesters. *Biomass & Bioenergy*, 1(2):65–73, 1991.
- [3] B. E. Rittmann and P. L. McCarty. Environmental Biotechnology: Principles and Applications. McGraw-Hill series in water resources and environmental engineering. McGraw-Hill, Boston, 2001.