

miRNAtap example use

Maciej Pajak, Ian Simpson

May 3, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Installation	2
3	Workflow	2
4	Session Information	5
	References	5

1 Introduction

`miRNAtap` package is designed to facilitate implementation of workflows requiring miRNA prediction. Aggregation of commonly used prediction algorithm outputs in a way that improves on performance of every single one of them on their own when compared against experimentally derived targets. microRNA (miRNA) is a 18-22nt long single strand that binds with RISC (RNA induced silencing complex) and targets mRNAs effectively reducing their translation rates.

Targets are aggregated from 4 most commonly cited prediction algorithms: DIANA (Maragkakis et al., 2011), Miranda (Enright et al., 2003), PicTar (Lall et al., 2006) and TargetScan (Friedman et al., 2009).

Programmatic access to sources of data is crucial when streamlining the workflow of our analysis, this way we can run similar analysis for multiple input miRNAs or any other parameters. Not only does it allow us to obtain predictions from multiple sources straight into R but also through aggregation of sources it improves the quality of predictions.

Finally, although direct predictions from all sources are only available for *Homo sapiens* and *Mus musculus*, this package includes an algorithm that allows to translate target genes to other species (currently only *Rattus norvegicus*) using homology information where direct targets are not available.

2 Installation

This section briefly describes the necessary steps to get `miRNAtap` running on your system. We assume that the user has the R program (see the R project at <http://www.r-project.org>) already installed and is familiar with it. You will need to have R 3.2.0 or later to be able to install and run `miRNAtap`. The `miRNAtap` package is available from the Bioconductor repository at <http://www.bioconductor.org>. To be able to install the package one needs first to install the core Bioconductor packages. If you have already installed Bioconductor packages on your system then you can skip the two lines below.

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite()
```

Once the core Bioconductor packages are installed, we can install the `miRNAtap` and accompanying database `miRNAtap.db` package by

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite("miRNAtap")
> biocLite("miRNAtap.db")
```

3 Workflow

This section explains how `miRNAtap` package can be integrated in the workflow aimed at predicting which processes can be regulated by a given microRNA.

In this example workflow we'll use `miRNAtap` as well as another Bioconductor package `topGO` together with Gene Ontology (GO) annotations. In case we don't have `topGO` or GO annotations on our machine we need to install them first:

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite("topGO")
> biocLite("org.Hs.eg.db")
```

Then, let's load the required libraries

```
> library(miRNAtap)
> library(topGO)
> library(org.Hs.eg.db)
```

Now we can start the analysis. First, we will obtain predicted targets for human miRNA *miR-10b*

```
> mir = 'miR-10b'
> predictions = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'hsa',
+                                     method = 'geom', min_src = 2)
```

Let's inspect the top of the prediction list.

```
> head(predictions)

  source_1 source_2 source_3 source_4 rank_product rank_final
6095        7    7.0     16      NA   3.073624         1
9612       137   10.0      3       8   3.366456         2
64641       16   22.0      5      NA   4.024540         3
627        121   17.5      1      NA   4.280422         4
253559      88    3.0     11      NA   4.755662         5
8013        51    1.0     86      NA   5.456342         6
```

We are using *geometric mean* aggregation method as it proves to perform best when tested against experimental data from MirBase (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008).

We can compare it to the top of the list of the output of *mimumum* method:

```
> predictions_min = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'hsa',
+                                         method = 'min', min_src = 2)
> head(predictions_min)

  source_1 source_2 source_3 source_4 rank_product rank_final
627        121   17.5      1      NA         1      2.5
8013        51    1.0     86      NA         1      2.5
23114        1   163.0    222      NA         1      2.5
65078       NA   65.5     97       1         1      2.5
1385       NA    2.0    161      NA         2      6.5
7022       105  240.5      2     73         2      6.5
```

Where predictions for rat genes are not available we can obtain predictions for mouse genes and translate them into rat genes through homology. The operation happens automatically if we specify species as `rno` (for *Rattus norvegicus*)

```
> predictions_rat = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'rno',
+                                         method = 'geom', min_src = 2)
```

Now we can use the ranked results as input to GO enrichment analysis. For that we will use our initial prediction for human *miR-10b*

```
> rankedGenes = predictions[, 'rank_product']
> selection = function(x) TRUE
> # we do not want to impose a cut off, instead we are using rank information
> allGO2genes = annFUN.org(whichOnto='BP', feasibleGenes = NULL,
+                           mapping="org.Hs.eg.db", ID = "entrez")
> G0data = new('topG0data', ontology = 'BP', allGenes = rankedGenes,
+              annot = annFUN.GO2genes, GO2genes = allGO2genes,
+              geneSel = selection, nodeSize=10)
```

In order to make use of the rank information we will use Kolomonogorov Smirnov (K-S) test instead of Fisher exact test which is based only on counts.

```
> results.ks = runTest(G0data, algorithm = "classic", statistic = "ks")
> results.ks

Description:
Ontology: BP
'classic' algorithm with the 'ks' test
635 GO terms scored: 3 terms with p < 0.01
Annotation data:
  Annotated genes: 279
  Significant genes: 279
  Min. no. of genes annotated to a GO: 10
  Nontrivial nodes: 635
```

We can view the most enriched GO terms (and potentially feed them to further steps in our workflow)

```
> allRes = GenTable(G0data, KS = results.ks, orderBy = "KS", topNodes = 20)
> allRes[,c('GO.ID', 'Term', 'KS')]
```

	GO.ID	Term	KS
1	GO:0042692	muscle cell differentiation	0.0033
2	GO:0006974	cellular response to DNA damage stimulus	0.0050
3	GO:0051146	striated muscle cell differentiation	0.0071
4	GO:0006352	DNA-templated transcription, initiation	0.0187
5	GO:0006367	transcription initiation from RNA polyme...	0.0187
6	GO:0070997	neuron death	0.0207

```

7 GO:1901214 regulation of neuron death 0.0207
8 GO:0006357 regulation of transcription from RNA pol... 0.0255
9 GO:0045944 positive regulation of transcription fro... 0.0277
10 GO:0010941 regulation of cell death 0.0285
11 GO:0090304 nucleic acid metabolic process 0.0296
12 GO:0050877 neurological system process 0.0316
13 GO:0061061 muscle structure development 0.0318
14 GO:0007417 central nervous system development 0.0368
15 GO:0006139 nucleobase-containing compound metabolic... 0.0374
16 GO:0016070 RNA metabolic process 0.0379
17 GO:0007548 sex differentiation 0.0386
18 GO:0008406 gonad development 0.0386
19 GO:0045137 development of primary sexual characteri... 0.0386
20 GO:0048513 animal organ development 0.0397

```

For more details about GO analysis refer to `topGO` package vignette (Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer, 2010).

Finally, we can use our predictions in a similar way for pathway enrichment analysis based on KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000), for example using Bioconductor's `KEGGprofile` (Zhao, 2012).

4 Session Information

- R version 3.3.0 (2016-05-03), x86_64-pc-linux-gnu
- Locale: LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8, LC_NUMERIC=C, LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8, LC_COLLATE=C, LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8, LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8, LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8, LC_NAME=C, LC_ADDRESS=C, LC_TELEPHONE=C, LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8, LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, parallel, stats, stats4, utils
- Other packages: AnnotationDbi 1.34.0, Biobase 2.32.0, BiocGenerics 0.18.0, GO.db 3.3.0, IRanges 2.6.0, S4Vectors 0.10.0, SparseM 1.7, graph 1.50.0, miRNAAtap 1.6.0, miRNAAtap.db 0.99.7, org.Hs.eg.db 3.3.0, topGO 2.24.0
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): DBI 0.4, RSQLite 1.0.0, Rcpp 0.12.4.5, chron 2.3-47, grid 3.3.0, gsubfn 0.6-6, lattice 0.20-33, magrittr 1.5, matrixStats 0.50.2, plyr 1.8.3, proto 0.3-10, sqldf 0.4-10, stringi 1.0-1, stringr 1.0.0, tools 3.3.0

References

Alexa, A. and Rahnenfuhrer, J. (2010). *topGO: topGO: Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology*. R package version 2.16.0.

- Enright, A. J., John, B., Gaul, U., Tuschl, T., Sander, C., and Marks, D. S. (2003). MicroRNA targets in *Drosophila*. *Genome biology*, 5(1):R1.
- Friedman, R. C., Farh, K. K.-H., Burge, C. B., and Bartel, D. P. (2009). Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome research*, 19(1):92–105.
- Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H. K., van Dongen, S., and Enright, A. J. (2008). miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics. *Nucleic acids research*, 36(Database issue):D154–8.
- Kanehisa, M. and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic acids research*, 28(1):27–30.
- Lall, S., Grün, D., Krek, A., Chen, K., Wang, Y.-L., Dewey, C. N., Sood, P., Colombo, T., Bray, N., Macmenamin, P., Kao, H.-L., Gunsalus, K. C., Pachter, L., Piano, F., and Rajewsky, N. (2006). A genome-wide map of conserved microRNA targets in *C. elegans*. *Current biology : CB*, 16(5):460–71.
- Maragkakis, M., Vergoulis, T., Alexiou, P., Reczko, M., Plomaritou, K., Gousis, M., Kourtis, K., Koziris, N., Dalamagas, T., and Hatzigeorgiou, A. G. (2011). DIANA-microT Web server upgrade supports Fly and Worm miRNA target prediction and bibliographic miRNA to disease association. *Nucleic acids research*, 39(Web Server issue):W145–8.
- Zhao, S. (2012). *KEGGprofile: An annotation and visualization package for multi-types and multi-groups expression data in KEGG pathway*. R package version 1.6.1.