Exploring data from the 1000 Genomes project in Bioconductor's ind1KG package

VJ Carey

April 18, 2015

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	External data acquisition2.1Manual extraction of a multi-Mb chunk	2 2 5
3	Exploring a samtools pileup	6
4	Checking samtools-based calls against other calls4.1HapMap Phase II calls	8 8 9
5	Relating possibly novel variants to existing annotation5.1Browser-based visualization	10 10 12 17

1 Overview

In this document we will look at high-coverage NGS data obtained on NA19240, because we have the HapMap phase II genotypes (4 mm SNP) for this individual in GGtools/hmyriB36, and we have an affy 6.0 SNP CEL file for this individual (and her cohort) as well.

There are three main objectives discussed in this document.

• We describe how data published in the 1000 genomes (1KG) project can be imported for investigations using R. This involves the use of the *Rsamtools* package. We provide serialized instances of various relevant data elements so that large objects distributed from the project need not be redistributed for these illustrations.

- We describe how information on variants can be related to existing annotation using *rtracklayer* to check for events in regulatory regions, for example.
- We discuss how information in the samtools 'pileup' format can be checked from a statistical perspective to explore how 'known' variants in the sample compare to the putatively newly discovered variants.

The reads examined in the document are all from the Illumina sequencing platform; additional work is sketched facilitating comparison with (released) read libraries based on 454 or ABI platforms.

2 External data acquisition

2.1 Manual extraction of a multi-Mb chunk

We will focus on this individual's chromosome 6. We acquired

NA19240.chrom6.SLX.maq.SRP000032.2009_07.bam

and the associated bai and bas files from

```
ftp://ftp-trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/pilot_data/data/
NA19240/alignment/NA19240.chrom6.SLX.maq.SRP000032.2009_07.bam.bas
```

Note that it is possible to work with these files remotely in R, without moving them to the local machine, thanks to the remote access facilities built in to samtools and exposed in R.

We use

```
samtools view \
    NA19240.chrom6.SLX.maq.SRP000032.2009_07.bam |head -3000000 > na19240_3M.sam
```

to obtain a parsable text file, presumably of 3 million reads that aligned, using MAQ, nearest the 5' end of the p arm of chr6. This is because we expect the bam file to be sorted. We picked the number 3 million out of thin air.

This sam format file can be converted to bam format using the samtools import facility. We took chromosome 6 reference sequence from

and indexed it and used

samtools import femchr6.fa.fai na19240_3M.sam na19240_3M.bam

to generate the bam file.

We imported this into R using Bioconductor's *Rsamtools* with a straight application of scanBam. The result is saved in the package as n240.

```
> library(ind1KG)
> if (!exists("n240"))
+ data(n240)
```

This is a list of lists, and we check on the contents of the elements as follows:

```
> names(n240[[1]])
```

[1]	"qname"	"flag"	"rname"	"strand"	"pos"	"qwidth"	"mapq"	"cigar"
[9]	"mrnm"	"mpos"	"isize"	"seq"	"qual"			

We check the classes:

```
> sapply(n240[[1]], class)
```

qname	flag	rname	strand	pos
"character"	"integer"	"factor"	"factor"	"integer"
qwidth	mapq	cigar	mrnm	mpos
"integer"	"integer"	"character"	"factor"	"integer"
isize	seq	qual		
"integer"	"DNAStringSet"	"PhredQuality"		

We get a small number of exemplars from each element:

```
> lapply(n240[[1]], head, 5)
$qname
[1] "EAS254_13:7:88:1639:15041" "EAS139_43:2:31:1128:9551"
[3] "EAS254_13:8:68:520:6861" "BGI-FC20AHFAAXX_6_26_477:352"
[5] "EAS139_43:6:71:1575:10961"
$flag
[1] 35 35 35 16 35
$rname
[1] 6 6 6 6 6
Levels: 6
$strand
[1] + + + - +
Levels: 6
```

\$pos [1] 5001 5002 5004 5004 5005 \$qwidth [1] 51 51 51 36 51 \$mapq [1] 0 0 0 0 0 \$cigar [1] "51M" "51M" "51M" "36M" "51M" \$mrnm [1] 6 6 6 <NA>6Levels: 6 \$mpos [1] 5163 5203 5170 NA 5156 \$isize [1] 214 253 218 NA 203 \$seq A DNAStringSet instance of length 5 width seq [1] 51 GATCTTATATAACTGTGAGATTAATCTCAGATAATGACACAAAATATAGTG [2] 51 ATCTTATATAACTGTGAGATTAATCTCAGATAATGACACAAAATATAGTGA [3] 51 CTTATATAACTGTGAGATTAATCTCAGATAATGACACAAAATATAGTGAAG [4] 36 TGTCATTATCTGAGATTAATCTCACAGTTATATAAG [5] 51 GTATATAACTGTGAGATTAATCTCAGATAATGACACAAAATATAGTGAAGT \$qual A PhredQuality instance of length 5 width seq [1] 51 C<@?AB?A@B@A?C@B@?@?BC@BB@C@A??B@AB<??@?AABAA?@>=?? [2] 51 B???A@A@A@A?;??@?@>ACABAAC@;@=BABB@=@A@?A?>A?A?<@9> [3] 51 B@@=A@A@@@B@A@=@?AB<AB@B@@@>A@AB@>@=?@A:AB@A??@>;?> [4] 36 C=:>A=>>==A=8?7>@?@=:@>?8;>9?8>9><60

[5] 51 +?>@?A?A?B@A????BC@ABACA???B@BB@=?A@ABAAB@B@?A?=?=A

We can use R at this point to do matching to reference and filtering and so forth, but we will only do this in a *post mortem* fashion, as it seems to make more sense to use samtools directly to do, for example, SNP calling.

2.2 Programmatic extraction of annotated regions

(This code segment suggested by Martin Morgan.)

We can use the *GenomicFeatures* package to obtain intervals defining various genomic elements.

```
> library(GenomicFeatures)
> library(TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg18.knownGene)
> txdb = TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg18.knownGene
> txdb
TxDb object:
# Db type: TxDb
# Supporting package: GenomicFeatures
# Data source: UCSC
# Genome: hg18
# Organism: Homo sapiens
# UCSC Table: knownGene
# Resource URL: http://genome.ucsc.edu/
# Type of Gene ID: Entrez Gene ID
# Full dataset: yes
# miRBase build ID: NA
# transcript_nrow: 66803
# exon_nrow: 266688
# cds_nrow: 221991
# Db created by: GenomicFeatures package from Bioconductor
# Creation time: 2015-03-19 13:54:40 -0700 (Thu, 19 Mar 2015)
# GenomicFeatures version at creation time: 1.19.32
# RSQLite version at creation time: 1.0.0
# DBSCHEMAVERSION: 1.1
```

The transcripts method will obtain ranges of transcripts with constraints.

```
> tx6 <- transcripts(txdb, vals = list(tx_chrom = "chr6"))
> chr6a <- head(unique(sort(ranges(tx6))), 50)
> chr6a
IRanges of length 50
        start end width
[1] 237101 296355 59255
[2] 249628 296353 46726
```

[3]33675234763710886[4]33675235644319692[5]3420563476375582............[46]3064041309828134241[47]309890131014812581[48]309890131027823882[49]3128053314100012948[50]316951431728703357

With a local BAM file, the following counting procedure is quick. Note that fl could be a URL beginning

```
ftp://ftp-trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/pilot_data/data/NA19240/alignment...
```

and the computations would work, but completion speed would depend upon server load and network throughput.

```
> library(Rsamtools)
> p1 <- ScanBamParam(which=RangesList(`6`=chr6a))
> f1 <- "/mnt/data/stvjc/1000GENOMES/NA19240.chrom6.SLX.maq.SRP000032.2009_07.bam"
> unix.time(cnt <- countBam(f1, param=p1))
> sum(cnt$records) # 2103439
```

The following scan will yield a list with read and quality information on the 50 transcript regions requested in chr6a allocated to 50 list elements.

> res <- scanBam(f1, param=p1)
> length(res)
> names(res[[1]])

3 Exploring a samtools pileup

The pileup output derived from the 3 million reads is a 17GB (sic) text file derived as follows:

```
samtools pileup -cf femchr6.fa \
    NA19240.chrom6.SLX.maq.SRP000032.2009_07.bam > na19240F.pup
```

First 10 lines:

6	5001	G	G	4	0	0	1	^!. C	
6	5002	А	А	7	0	0	2	.^!. <b< td=""><td></td></b<>	
6	5003	Т	Т	7	0	0	2	@?	
6	5004	С	С	14	0	0	4	^!.^!,	??B0

6	5005	Т	Т	4	0	0	5	,^!G A?@6+	
6	5006	Т	Т	15	0	0	5	,. BA@ </td <td></td>	
6	5007	А	А	15	0	0	5	,.?@=>>	
6	5008	Т	Т	15	0	0	5	,. AAA9@	
6	5009	А	А	15	0	0	5	,. @@@>?	
6	5010	Т	Т	17	0	0	6	,.^!.	BAA8AC

The total number of lines is not quite 200 million, so it might be handled directly in R on a reasonably sized machine. We have isolated the first 10 million records and restricted attention to those locations where the individual NA19240 differs from the reference sequence.

```
> data(pup240_disc)
> head(pup240_disc, 5)
        loc ref indiv depth
                                                              pileup
7961
      12961
              С
                     G
                           4
                                                                   g
9074
     14074
                     Т
                           4
              G
                                                                  Т$
              С
                     А
                           2
11171 16172
                                                               ,$.$a
23462 28466
                     С
                           6
              А
                                                                  C,
                     G
28697 33701
                          21 ,,,,$,,,,,,,,,.,.g.,,gGggggGgggg
              А
```

Some of these variants are denoted with asterisk, suggesting evidence of deletion. We will omit these for now. There are also some non-nucleotide-valued markers, omitted.

```
> pup240_disc <- pup240_disc[ pup240_disc$ref != "*", ]
> pup240_disc <- pup240_disc[ pup240_disc$ref %in% c("A", "C", "T", "G"), ]
> table(pup240_disc$indiv)
```

A C G K M R S T W Y 1593 1926 1861 1039 958 4247 1068 1593 982 4233

How many of the calls that disagree with reference are present at locations not already identified as polymorphic by dbSNP?

```
> data(c6snp)
> sum( !( pup240_disc$loc %in% c6snp$chrPosFrom ) )
```

[1] 4075

How many of these possibly novel variants are sites of heterozygosity?

```
> nov <- pup240_disc[ !( pup240_disc$loc %in% c6snp$chrPosFrom ), ]
> table(nov$indiv)
```

A C G K M R S T W Y 251 247 193 309 264 985 279 238 330 979

4 Checking samtools-based calls against other calls

4.1 HapMap Phase II calls

We include information from the phase II HapMap calls for NA19240. We have a *snp.matrix* instance with the full genotyping for chromosome 6 and location information as supplied by HapMap.

```
> library(chopsticks)
> data(yri240_6)
> names(yri240_6)
```

[1] "hm2" "supp"

The following code gets all relevant HapMap calls in a generic format and isolates the SNP at which NA19240 is heterozygous.

```
> snps <- as(yri240_6[[1]], "character")
> hets <- which(snps == "A/B")
> rshet <- colnames(snps)[hets]
> smet <- yri240_6[[2]]
> smethet <- smet[hets,]
> head(smethet, 5)
```

	dbSNPalleles	Assignment	$\operatorname{Chromosome}$	Position	Strand
rs12192290	A/T	A/T	chr6	95272	+
rs1929630	A/C	A/C	chr6	99536	+
rs719065	A/G	A/G	chr6	110632	+
rs12209455	A/G	A/G	chr6	112510	+
rs6909153	A/G	A/G	chr6	119769	+

We also have the full pileup information for the first 500K loci computed by samtools pileup.

This include some duplicated locations, which we remove.

> pup240_500ku <- pup240_500k[!duplicated(pup240_500k[,1]),]</pre>

The pileups at which HapMap says our subject is heterozygous are

> hpup <- pup240_500ku[pup240_500ku[,1] %in% smethet[,"Position"],]

Are there any loci (in this very small region of chromosome 6) that HapMap says are heterozygous, but that are found to be homozygous by sequencing?

```
> hom <- hpup[ hpup[,2] == hpup[,3], ]</pre>
> hom
          V2 V3 V4 V5
158570 163386 C C 15
169883 174667 T T 89
219091 223773 C C 4
                                                                           V9
158570
                                            ,,,...,,t.,..,t..T.TtTa..,^~,^~T
                 ..,,$.$,,..,..,C,...,CAcc,,C,,,,C,.C..,.Cc,..,Cc,..,°<,^?,
169883
219091 ,.t..,,,$.Gt,,tt,,t,.,,t..,g.A,,.,tt,,..,T,t.,,,.,Tt...,gt.^,g
> smethet[ smethet[, "Position"] %in% hom[,1], ]
          dheNDallalag Aggignmant Ch
                                           р.
                                             aitic
```

	dbSNPalleles	Assignment	Chromosome	Position	Strand
rs1418703	C/T	C/T	chr6	163386	+
rs6915606	C/T	C/T	chr6	174667	+
rs815571	C/T	C/T	chr6	223773	+

4.2 Affy SNP 6.0 chip calls

We ran crlmm to genotype all 90 YRI samples from 6.0 chips distributed by Affymetrix. The data for NA19240 chromosome 6 are available in the *ind1KG* package:

```
> data(gw6c6.snp240)
```

```
> head(gw6c6.snp240, 4)
```

	man_fsetid	dbsnp_rs_id	physical_pos	strand	allele_a	allele_b	cal1240
1	SNP_A-1984753	rs719065	110632	1	С	Т	2
2	SNP_A-1984758	rs6927090	197145	1	А	C	3
3	SNP_A-1984759	rs815583	230695	1	С	Т	2
4	SNP_A-1984760	rs1514346	334630	0	А	G	2

The heterozygous loci are

```
> hloc6 <- gw6c6.snp240[ gw6c6.snp240$call240 == 2, "physical_pos" ]</pre>
```

Let's see if the sequencing leads to the same decisions (at least with regard to heterozygous vs. homozygous):

```
> inds <- which(pup240_500k[,1] %in% hloc6)
> table(pup240_500k[inds, 3])
K M R S Y
6 2 17 2 14
```

For the loci called homozygous by crlmm, we have:

```
> oloc6 <- gw6c6.snp240[ gw6c6.snp240$call240 %in% c(1,3), "physical_pos" ]
> oinds <- which(pup240_500k[,1] %in% oloc6)
> table(pup240_500k[oinds, 3])
A C G T Y
```

5 Relating possibly novel variants to existing annotation

5.1 Browser-based visualization

21 49 38 22 1

There are many ways to use Bioconductor annotation resources to learn about contexts of variants. However, the UCSC genome browser is probably the most efficient place to start. We can convert our vector of locations of apparently new variants to a browser track as follows; this code is not executed in vignette construction, but you may run it manually if suitably networked.

```
> library(IRanges)
> nloc <- nov$loc
> nrd <- RangedData( IRanges(nloc, nloc) )
> names(nrd) <- "chr6"
> library(rtracklayer)
> br <- browserSession("UCSC")
> br[["novo"]] <- nrd
> v1 <- browserView(br, GenomicRanges(1, 1e7, "chr6"))</pre>
```

This arranges the browser so that the custom track at the top of the display, 'novo', gives the locations of the possible novel variants.

Overall, we see that these novel variants occur regularly across the 10MB.



We can zoom in to the region around a given gene, here SERPINEB6.

		earch chr	6.2 840 04	0 2 064 40	0.5	(jump) clea	ar) size 123,	157 hn (co)	figure	
	position/se	carcii chin	5.2,640,94	9-2,904,40	15	Jump Clea	ar size 125,	H37 Up. (Col	ingure	
ct	r6 (p25.2)	22.	.3		 	14.1 15	6q21		26 q27	
	ale r6: 2850000	2860000	2870000	50 kb	2890000 29	900000 2910000	2920000 29	30000 29400	2950000	2950000
r	ovo 	I I II						111 1	U II I I	1
	512 		UCSC Gene	es Based o	SERPINB6	teeete	, CCDS and Cor	NQO2 H>>>>	OMICS	••••••
SERP1 AY927	NB9 <mark>+</mark> ≪‡+++++ 513 ■4				SERPINB6		DKF Zþ6	586I15217 H	NQO2 Hooda A	/+
					SERPINB6					1
					SERPINB6 SERPINB6		++++++++			
					SERPINB6	RefSea Genes	; e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
RefSeq Ge	nes 							H		+ + + +
BC002	538 k() ~ k ~			P	BC001394	ene Collection F Herechtle	ull ORF MRNAS	*	BC006096	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
					BC098564	heecelikkeeckeecee				
Human mR	NAS 🕂 📕 📕							Here-	+ + + ++	+ + + +
Spliced E	STS HILL		- 11		Human ES	Ts That Have Bee	en Spliced	H-8		
OREG0017	144				Regulato	ry elements from	n ORegAnno			
OREG0017	145			•						
OREG0017	146			Simpl	e Nucleotid	le Polumorphisms	(dbSNP build	139)	•	
SNPS (1	30)		1 O O O O O O O O O O O					A Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î Î		
SNFS (1 Affy SNP					SN	P Genotyping Arr	rays			
Affy SNP 6.0	sv ïl'	0.000	<u> </u>	41 Ü I	<u>, (()</u> (0) (() ()			LÜ . J)) [] []	i II. III
Illumina 1M-	Juo	1 11 1	, I I					1 11 1 11		
					Repeat ing					

5.2 Browser-based annotation extraction and comparison

Because the *rtracklayer* package gives a bidirectional interface, it is possible to programmatically check for coincidence of variant locations, gene regions, or regulatory elements, for example.

We can learn the names of all available tracks for the current session via code like the following.

```
> tn <- trackNames(br)
> grep("Genes", names(tn), value=TRUE) # many different gene sets
> tn["UCSC Genes"] # resolve indirection
```

For example, to get the symbols for genes in the 10 million bp excerpt that we are working with, we can use

```
> rsg <- track(br, "refGene")
> rsgdf <- as.data.frame(rsg)</pre>
```

This data frame has been serialized with the ind1KG package.

```
> data(rsgdf)
> names(rsgdf)
[1] "space" "start" "end" "width" "name"
[6] "score" "strand" "thickStart" "thickEnd" "color"
[11] "blockCount" "blockSizes" "blockStarts"
```

> rsgdf[1:3,1:7]

	space	start	end	width	name	score	strand
1	chr6	237101	296355	59255	NM_020185	0	+
2	chr6	336752	356443	19692	NM_002460	0	+
3	chr6	430138	638109	207972	NM_018303	0	-

We see that the 'names' here are RefSeq identifiers. We may be able to resolve them to Entrez Gene Ids, and thence to symbols, as follows:

```
> library(org.Hs.eg.db)
> rsgn <- as.character(rsgdf$name)
> eid <- mget(rsgn, revmap(org.Hs.egREFSEQ), ifnotfound=NA)
> eid <- na.omit(unlist(eid))
> sym <- mget(eid, org.Hs.egSYMBOL, ifnotfound=NA)
> head(unlist(sym), 10)
```

56940	3662	55770	135458	285768	285768
"DUSP22"	"IRF4"	"EXOC2"	"HUS1B"	"LOC285768"	"LOC285768"
94234	2295	2296	2762		
"FOXQ1"	"FOXF2"	"FOXC1"	"GMDS"		

These names are consistent with what we see on the browser displays shown above.

We can use the *IRanges* infrastructure to check for intersection between novel variant locations and gene occupancy regions.

```
> nloc <- nov$loc # this one is evaluated
> nranges <- IRanges(nloc, nloc)
> granges <- IRanges(rsgdf$start, rsgdf$end) # no guarantee of annotation
> length(nranges)
```

[1] 4075

```
> length(granges)
```

[1] 73

```
> sum(nranges %in% granges)
```

[1] 0

```
> head(match(nranges,granges), 200)
```

[1]NA</t

We can see that there is a batch of variants present in the first gene, and this is confirmed

by checking the 1KG browser.

NCBI36	2201	TGGGTAATAAATTTCTTGACTTTTATTATCTTGTGAATAGAGACTCACAG	2250
1KG_NA19240	2201	TGGGTAATAAATTTCTTGACTTTTATTATCTTGTGAATAGAGACTCACAG	2250
NCBI36	2251	TGTATCAATGAAGAAATACATGTATAGAGAGATTTAGAGACTTCCCTGCT	2300
1KG_NA19240	2251	TGTATCAATGAAGAAATA <mark>Y</mark> ATGTATAGAGAGATTTAGAGACTTCCCTGCT	2300
NCBI36	2301	TCAACACTTATTGATTATGTACATACTATGAGCCAGGTGTTGTGCTAGTG	2350
1KG_NA19240	2301	TCAACACTTATTGATTATGTACATACTATGAGCCAGGTGTTGTGCTAGTG	2350
NCBI36	2351	GGTGGGGAGGGGGCAAAGGGAGTACAGTGGTAAATGACCCAAAGATTCTT	2400
1KG_NA19240	2351	GGTGGGGAGGGGGGCAAAGGGAGTACAGTGGTAAATGACCCAAAGATTCTT	2400
NCBI36	2401	CACAGAACCTTCAGTACAGGTCAGCATTGTCCAGCACTAATATGATGCGA	2450
1KG_NA19240	2401	CACAGAACCTTCAGTA <mark>Y</mark> AGGTCA <mark>R</mark> CATTGTCCAGCACTAATATGATGCGA	2450
NCBI36	2451	GCTATATATGTAACTTAAAATGTTCCGGTAGCCACATTAAAAAATAAACC	2500
1KG_NA19240	2451	GCTATAT <mark>R</mark> TGTAACTTAAAATGTTCCGGTAGCCACATTAAAAAATAAACC	2500
NCBI36	2501	GGTGAAATTAATTTTAATAATATATTTTTATTTAACAATATATTAAAAACTA	2550
1KG_NA19240	2501	GGTGAAATTAATTTTAATAATATATTTTTATTTAACAATATATTAAAAACTA	2550
NCBI36	2551	TTGTTTCAACATGTAACCAATATATAAAGTTATTCATGGAAGATTCCATG	2600
1KG_NA19240	2551	TTGTTTCAACATGTAA <mark>Y</mark> CAATATATAAAGTTATTCATGGAAGATTCCATG	2600
NCBI36	2601	TTTTTTACTATGTCTTTGTGAAAGGAAAAATCTCGGGACCCCCAGATCAC	2650
1KG_NA19240	2601	TTTTTTACTATGTCTTTGTGAAAGGAAAAATCTCGGGACCCCCAGATCAC	2650

Looking in more detail, we have





and this can be exploded into the Ensembl variant browser view

with textual metadata view

□ Variations in 1KG_NA19240												
ID	Туре	Chr: bp	Ref. allele	Individual genotype		Transcript codon	CDS coord.	AA change	AA coord.	Class	Source	Validation
<u>rs9405165</u>	UPSTREAM	6:237024	G	CIC	S	-	-	-	-	SNP	1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	-
<u>rs1342789</u>	INTRONIC	6:257023	С	CIT	Y	-	-	-	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, HGVbase, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	cluster, frequency, submitter, doublehit, hapmap
<u>rs7753848</u>	INTRONIC	6:280069	Т	AIT	W	-	-	-	-	SNP	1KG_NA19240, dbSNP	frequency, hapmap
<u>rs2671431</u>	INTRONIC	6:280175	A	TIT	W	-	-	-	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, HGVbase, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	cluster, doublehit, hapmap
<u>rs1877172</u>	INTRONIC	6:293051	A	AIG	R	-	-	-	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, HGVbase, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, TSC	cluster, frequency, submitter, doublehit
<u>rs2797333</u>	INTRONIC	6:293080	A	GIG	R	-	-	-	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, HGVbase, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	cluster, doublehit, hapmap
<u>rs11242812</u>	INTRONIC	6:293906	G	AIG	R	-	-	-	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, 1KG_NA12892, 1KG_NA12891, 1KG_NA12878, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP	cluster, doublehit
<u>rs1129085</u>	SYNONYMOUS_CODING	6:295829	G	AIG	R	CCA	516	Ρ	172	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	cluster, frequency
<u>rs1046656</u>	3PRIME_UTR	6:296156	С	CIT	Y	-	-	•	-	SNP	ENSEMBL:Watson, HGVbase, 1KG_NA19240, dbSNP, ENSEMBL:Venter	cluster, frequency, doublehit

So it seems DUSP22 resides over plenty of known SNP; our computations are supposed to reveal hitherto unknown variants in this region for this individual.

5.3 Exercises

- 1. The oregdf *data.frame* is supplied in *ind1KG*, containing information on regulatory elements annotated in oreganno. How many novel variants for NA19240 lie in oreganno regulatory regions? What types of regions are occupied?
- 2. Derive a *data.frame* for regions of nucleosome occupancy in our 10 Mb segment and check how many of the novel variants lie in such regions.