GeneticsDesign

October 25, 2011

GPC

Genetics power calculator for linear trend association studies

Description

Genetics power calculator for linear trend association studies.

Usage

Arguments

| рА | High risk allele frequency (A). |
|--------|---|
| рD | Disease prevalence. |
| RRAa | Genotype relative risk (Aa) = RR (Aa aa) = Pr (D Aa) / Pr (D aa). |
| RRAA | Genotype relative risk (AA) = RR (AA aa) = Pr (D AA) / Pr (D aa). |
| r2 | LD measure. Assume that $D > 0$. |
| Dprime | LD measure. |
| рВ | Marker allele frequency (B). |
| nCase | Number of cases. |
| ratio | Control:case ratio = nControl/nCase. |
| alpha | User-defined type I error rate. |
| quiet | Print some intermediate results if quiet=FALSE. |

Details

The power is for the test that disease is associated with a marker, given high risk allele frequency (A), disease prevalence, genotype relative risk (Aa), genotype relative risk (AA), LD measure (D' or r^2), marker allele frequency (B), number of cases, control:case ratio, and probability of the Type I error. The linear trend test (Cochran 1954; Armitage 1955) is used.

Value

| power | The estimated power for the association test. |
|-----------|---|
| ncp | Non-centrality parameter. |
| mat.para | A matrix of case-control parameters, including number of cases, number of con- trols, high risk allele frequency, prevalence, genotypic relative risk (Aa), geno- typic relative risk (AA), genotypic risk for aa (baseline). |
| mat.B | A matrix of marker locus B parameters, including marker allele frequency, link- age disequilibrium (D'), penetrance at marker genotype bb, penetrance at marker genotype Bb, penetrance at marker genotype BB, genotypic odds ratio Bb, geno- typic odds ratio BB. |
| mat.aFreq | A 2 by 2 matrix of expected allele frequencies $Pr(B D)$, $Pr(b D)$, $Pr(B non D)$, $Pr(b non D)$. |
| mat.gFreq | A 3 by 2 matrix of expected genotype frequencies Pr(BB D), Pr(Bb D), Pr(Bb D), Pr(Bb non D), Pr(Bb non D), Pr(bb non D). |
| mat.stat | Power estimates for a sequence of Type I errors. |

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>, Ross Lazarus <ross.lazarus@channing.harvard.ed

References

Armitage, P. (1955) Tests for linear trends in proportions and frequencies. *Biometrics*, 11, 375-386.

Cochran, W.G. (1954) Some methods for strengthening the common chi-squared tests. *Biometrics*, 10, 417-451.

Gordon D, Finch SJ, Nothnagel M, Ott J (2002) Power and sample size calculations for case-control genetic association tests when errors are present: application to single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Hum. Hered.*, 54:22-33.

Gordon D, Haynes C, Blumenfeld J, Finch SJ (2005) PAWE-3D: visualizing Power for Association With Error in case/control genetic studies of complex traits. *Bioinformatics*, 21:3935-3937.

Purcell S, Cherny SS, Sham PC. (2003). Genetic Power Calculator: design of linkage and association genetic mapping studies of complex traits. *Bioinformatics*, 19(1):149-150.

Sham P. (1998). Statistics in Human Genetics. Arnold Applications of Statistics.

Examples

GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric
Power of Genetics Study

Description

Compute power of quantitative genetics studies, when the genotype is handled as a numeric value (0,1,2) GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric or as a factor GeneticPower.Quantitative.Factor.

Usage

```
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric(
                     N=1000,
                     delta=1,
                     freq=0.15,
                     minh=c("additive", "dominant", "recessive"),
                     sigma=1,
                     OtherParms=0,
                     alpha=0.05,
                     numtests=1,
                     moi=NULL,
                     rsquared=NULL)
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Factor(
                     N=1000,
                     delta=1,
                     freq=0.15,
                     minh=c("additive", "dominant", "recessive"),
                     sigma=1,
                     OtherParms=0,
                     alpha=0.05,
                     numtests=1,
                     moi=NULL,
                     rsquared=NULL)
```

Arguments

| Ν | total samples in the analysis |
|------------|--|
| delta | Treatment effect for an individual homozygote for the disease allele ('b') relative to an individual homozygote for the reference allele ('A') |
| freq | allele frequency of disease allele 'b' |
| minh | mode of inheritance: "additive", "dominant", "recessive", Default is "additive". <i>This parameter is OVER-RIDDEN by</i> moi. |
| sigma | standard deviation of the response phenotype |
| OtherParms | number of additional parameters (really, DOF) in the model that will reduce your overall DOF |
| alpha | desired significance level |
| numtests | number of tests to be corrected by Bonferroni adjustment beforee achieving 'al- pha' |

| moi | continuous value between 0 and 1 (inclusive) specifying the mode of inheri- tance: 0 for recessive, 0.5 for additive, 1.0 for dominant. <i>This parameter OVER-</i> <i>RIDES</i> minh. |
|----------|--|
| rsquared | fraction of total sum-of-squares explained by fit. <i>This parameter OVER-RIDES</i> delta <i>AND</i> sigma. |

Details

The value of moi overrides any value specified for minh. Specifying a minh="recessive" is equivalent to specifying moi=0, minh="additive" is equivalent to moi=0.5, and minh="dominant" is equivalent to moi=1.0.

Author(s)

CraigL.Hyde<Craig.L.Hyde@pfizer.com> and Feng Gao <feng.gaol@pfizer.com>

Examples

```
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric(
```

```
N=50,
freq=0.1,
minh="recessive",
alpha=0.05
)
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Factor(
N=50,
freq=0.1,
minh="recessive",
alpha=0.05
)
```

##

Depreciated Depreciated functions

Description

These functions are depreciated.

Usage

power.casectrl(...)

Arguments

... All arguments are ignored

gregorius

Details

The power.casectl function contained serious errors and has been replaced by GPC, GeneticPower.Quantitative.or GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric as appropriate.

In specific, the power.casectl function used an expected contingency table to create the test statistic that was erroneously based on the underlying null, rather than on the marginal totals of the observed table. In addition, the modeling of dominant and recessive modes of inheritance had assumed a "perfect" genotype with no disease, whereas in reality a dominant or recessive mode of inheritance simply means that two of the genotypes will have an identical odds ratio compared to the 3rd genotype (the other homozygote).

| gregorius | Probability of Observing All Alleles with a Given Frequency in a Sam- |
|-----------|---|
| | ple |

Description

Probability of observing all alleles with a given frequency in a sample of a specified size.

Usage

gregorius(freq, N, missprob, tol = 1e-10, maxN = 10000, maxiter=100, showiter =

Arguments

| freq | (Minimum) Allele frequency (required) |
|----------|--|
| Ν | Number of sampled genotypes |
| missprob | Desired maximum probability of failing to observe an allele. |
| tol | Omit computation for terms which contribute less than this value. |
| maxN | Largest value to consider when searching for N. |
| maxiter | Maximum number of iterations to use when searching for N. |
| showiter | Boolean flag indicating whether to show the iterations performed when searching for N. |

Details

If freq and N are provided, but missprob is omitted, this function computes the probability of failing to observe all alleles with true underlying frequency freq when N diploid genotypes are sampled. This is accomplished using the sum provided in Corollary 2 of Gregorius (1980), omitting terms which contribute less than tol to the result.

When freq and missprob are provide, but N is omitted. A binary search on the range of [1,maxN] is performed to locate the smallest sample size, N, for which the probability of failing to observe all alleles with true underlying frequency freq is at most missprob. In this case, maxiter specifies the largest number of iterations to use in the binary search, and showiter controls whether the iterations of the search are displayed.

Value

A list containing the following values:

| call | Function call used to generate this object. | |
|---------------|--|--|
| method | One of the strings, "Compute missprob given N and freq", or "Determine min- imal N given missprob and freq", indicating which type of computation was performed. | |
| retval\$freq | Specified allele frequency. | |
| retval\$N | Specified or computed sample size. | |
| retval\$missp | rob | |
| | Computed probability of failing to observe all of the alleles with frequency | |
| | freq. | |

Note

This code produces sample sizes that are slightly larger than those given in table 1 of Gregorius (1980). This appears to be due to rounding of the computed missprobs by the authors of that paper.

Author(s)

Code submitted by David Duffy <davidD@qumr.edu.au>, substantially enhanced by Gregory R. Warnes <warnes@bst.rochester.edu>.

References

Gregorius, H.R. 1980. The probability of losing an allele when diploid genotypes are sampled. Biometrics 36, 643-652.

Examples

```
# Compute the probability of missing an allele with frequency 0.15 when
# 20 genotypes are sampled:
gregorius(freq=0.15, N=20)
# Determine what sample size is required to observe all alleles with true
```

```
# Determine what sample size is required to observe all alleles with that
# frequency 0.15 with probability 0.95
gregorius(freq=0.15, missprob=1-0.95)
```

power.genotype.conti

power for genetic studies using baseline measure

Description

Estimate power for genetice studies using baseline measurements via simulation.

power.genotype.conti

Usage

Arguments

| Ν | total number of subjects |
|--------------|--|
| р | frequency of A (affected) allele |
| Rep | number of simulatin runs used to estimate power |
| alpha | significance level |
| pi | correlation coefficient |
| mel, me2 | mean of control and treatment groups |
| delta | treatment/genotype effect |
| sd1,sd2 | standard deviation of the control and treatment groups |
| minh | mode of inheritance, one of 'additive', 'dominant', or 'recessive' |
| genotype.del | ta |
| | logical indicating whether the treatment effect occurs only for an individual genotype (genotype.delta=TRUE) or for all genotypes (genotype.delta=FALSE) |
| Factor | Should the simulated treatment variable 'Trt' be be treated as a factor variable (Factor=TRUE) or as a numeric variable (Factor=FALSE). |
| verbose | Should information about each simulated data set and model fit be displayed. |
| | Arguments to be passed to simu.genotype.conti |
| | |

Value

~Describe the value returned If it is a LIST, use

| compl | Description of 'comp1' |
|-------|------------------------|
| comp2 | Description of 'comp2' |

•••

Author(s)

Michael Man, minor changes by Gregory R. Warnes <greg@random-technologies-llc.com>

References

Frison and Pocock (1992) "Repeated measures in clinical trials: analysis using mean summary statistics and its implications for design" Statistics in Medicine 11:1685-1704

Vickers (2001) "The use of percentage change from baseline as an outcome in a controlled trial is statistically inefficient: a simulation study" BMC Med Res Methodol. 2001; 1 (1): 6

See Also

power.casectrl

Examples

End(Not run)

8

Index

```
*Topic design
   GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric,
       3
   power.genotype.conti,6
*Topic htest
   GPC, 1
*Topic misc
   Depreciated, 4
   gregorius,5
Depreciated, 4
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Factor,
       5
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Factor
       (GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric),
       3
GeneticPower.Quantitative.Numeric,
       3, 5
GPC, 1, 5
gregorius, 5
power.casectrl,7
power.casectrl(Depreciated),4
power.genotype.conti,6
simu.genotype.conti
       (power.genotype.conti),6
```